PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

813318

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

DEW, Melvyn, John ExxonMobil Chemical Europe Inc. P.O. Box 105 B-1830 Machelen BELGIQUE PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

RECEIVED IN MACHELEN

PLAW

0 5 JUL 2000 (da

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

04.07.2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

2005M015

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/EP2005/000948

28.01.2005

22.03.2004

Applicant

EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL PATENTS INC.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

PC MASTER UPDATED

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Tel. +31 70 340-3596

Authorized Officer

Smits, A DANA HEPS



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference				
2005M015	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ON Se	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/000948	International filing date (day)		Priority date (day/month/year)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. C07C45/50 C10G3/00 C07C45/00				
1111. 607643/30 61043/30 607643/30				
Applicant PATENTO INC.				
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL PATENTS INC.				
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3 sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a				
sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box				
Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
☑ Box No. I Basis of the re	Basis of the report			
☐ Box No. II Priority	Priority			
☐ Box No. III Non-establish	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	_			
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
☐ Box No. VI Certain docun	VI Certain documents cited			
☐ Box No. VII Certain defect	Certain defects in the international application			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand		te of completion of this re	eport	
		to or completion of the re	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
20.01.2006		04.07.2006		
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized officer		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2			Sales In the Sales of the Sales	
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		ertin-van Bommel, S	Part Care	
		ephone No. +31 70 340-	4231	

201591733

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000948

Box No. I Basis of the report 1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a)) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a)) 2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): **Description**, Pages as originally filed 1-23 Claims, Numbers received on 26.01.2006 with letter of 20.01.2006 1-17 **Drawings, Sheets** as originally filed 1/1 a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☑ the claims, Nos. 17,18 ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000948

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-17

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

100591735

CAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 01 SEP 2006 International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2005/000948

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4 593 127 (BUNNING ET AL) 3 June 1986 (1986-06-03)

Novelty

- 1. With its example 1, figure 2 and table 2, the <u>document D1</u> discloses a continuous process for the hydroformylation of propylene comprising feeding a stream containing 98% propylene (78) and a first (76) and second (82) synthesis gas stream with a H₂/CO ratio of 1.08 to two serially connected hydroformylation reactors (D1: primary and secondary systems), both comprising a Rh catalyst.
- 2. The subject-matter of claim 1 firstly differs over that of D1 in that the feed rate of the propylene stream equals at least 3 tonnes per hour, i.e., the process of claim 1 is carried out at industrial scale (description: page 3, ln. 17-20). The process of D1 is one of bench scale.
- 3. Secondly, the subject-matter of claim 1 differs over that of D1 in condition (b) stating that the molar ratio $(H_2+CO)/C_3H_6$ ratio contained in the **fresh feed to the** *process* is greater than 1.93 and at most 2.00. In D1, table 2, said ratio equals 1.89 for the primary system and 2.01 for the combined primary and secondary system.
- 4. Claim 1 is therefore new in view of document D1 (Article 33(2) PCT).

Inventive Step

5. The wording of claim 1 does not exclude a subsequent secondary reactor as described in D1 to be incorporated in the process of claim 1. In fact, the description of the present application (p.13, ln.12-22) teaches the use of more than one reactor in series as a

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000948

preferred embodiment.

Because the ratio of condition (b) in claim 1 refers to the feed to the *process*, rather than the reactor, the combined primary and secondary systems of D1 is considered in the assessment of the inventive step of claim 1 in view of D1.

- 6. As mentioned above, the difference of the subject-matter of claim 1 over D1 is the feed rate of 3 tonnes per hour, i.e. industrial scale vs bench scale of D1 and the feed ratio of syngas over propylene of at most 2.00 vs 2.01 in D1.
- 7. The problem to be solved by the present application is considered to be the achievement of a high propylene conversion on an industrial scale.
- 8. The person skilled in the art of hydroformylation would, in order to scale up the process of D1 to industrial scale, as according to customary practice, increase the feed rate to at least 3 tonnes per hour, without the exercise of inventive skill.

As to the remaining difference of the ratio, there is no evidence of a technical effect of using a ratio of at most 2.00 compared to using a 2.01 ratio as disclosed in D1. Moreover, as can be expected from the different scales, the propylene conversion of the combined primary and secondary system in D1 is higher than the conversions reached in the present application (see table 1 on page 16 of present application, compared to below calculation based on table 2 of D1):

Conversion in D1:

Ingoing stream of propylene (IN): 76; 1.764 gmole/hr
Outgoing streams of propylene (OUT): 67,89,91,92; total of 0.026833 gmole /hr

Conversion = (IN-OUT)/IN = 98.48%, compared to 94.56% in the present application.

9. Therefore, because there is no unexpected effect to the conversion that could be attributed to selecting the syngas:propylene feed ratio up to at most 2.00, and because this slight difference in feed ratio is considered to fall within acceptable variations that are to be expected when scaling up a process without any surprising effect, no inventive step can be

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000948

recognised for the subject-matter of claim 1 (Article 33(3) PCT.

10. Dependent claims 2-17 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, as they are either disclosed in D1 (see the corresponding passages cited in ISR), or because they are part of customary practice followed by the person skilled in the art.

Miscellaneous

11. It is noted that, assuming the basis exists in the application as filed, as required by 19(2) PCT, were the wording of claim 1 have been unambiguous about the conditions (a), (b) and (c) relating to the hydroformylation *reactor* per se, rather than the conditions relating to the *process*, an inventive step would probably be recognised, since the subject-matter of claim 1 would be compared to only the primary system of D1, rather than the entire *process* comprising also the secondary system. This would result in a different comparison of feed ratio's and conversions, showing an unexpected effect. However, as presently worded, the conditions relate to the entire process and the subject-matter of claim 1 is compared to the disclosure of D1, accordingly.

iAP20 Rec'd PGT/PTO 01 SEP 2006

CLAIMS

5

10

15

- 1. A continuous process for the hydroformylation of propylene comprising feeding
- (i) a propylene stream at a rate of at least 3 tonnes per hour, and
 - (ii) synthesis gas comprising hydrogen and carbon monoxide to a hydroformylation reactor in which the propylene is hydroformylated over a rhodium containing catalyst, characterised in that
 - (a) the propylene stream contains at least 97 mole % of propylene;
- (b) the molar ratio of (H₂+CO) contained in the fresh synthesis gas fed to the process, to the propylene contained in the fresh propylene stream fed to the process, is greater than 1.93 and at most 2.00; and
 - (c) in the synthesis gas feed, the hydrogen over carbon monoxide molar ratio is from >1:1 to 1.2:1
- 2. The process according to claim 1 in which the propylene stream contains at least 99.5 mole % of propylene.
- The process according to claim 1 or 2 in which the sulphur content of the propylene feed is not greater than 500 ppb by weight, calculated on an atomic basis.
 - 4. The process according to any of the preceding claims in which the reactive nitrogen content of the propylene feed is not greater than 10 ppm by weight, calculated on an atomic basis.
 - 5. The process according to any of the preceding claims in which the chlorine content of the propylene feed is not greater than 5 ppm by weight, calculated on an atomic basis.

30

25

- 6. The process according to claim 3, 4 or 5 wherein the propylene feed contains, by weight and on an atomic basis, less than 50 ppb sulphur or less than 50 ppb reactive nitrogen or less than 50 ppb chlorine.
- 5 7. The process according to claim 6 wherein the aggregate weight content in the propylene feed of sulphur and reactive nitrogen and chlorine, on an atomic basis, is less than 50 ppb.
- 8. The process according to any of the preceding claims in which the
 10 hydroformylation catalyst is an oil-soluble rhodium complex comprising a
 low valence rhodium (Rh) complexed with a triorganophosphorus
 compound.
- 9. The process according to claim 8 in which the triorganophosphorus

 compound comprises an oil-soluble triarylphosphine, trialkylphosphine,

 alkyl-diaryl-phosphine, aryl-dialkylphosphine, triorganophosphite or

 bisphosphite containing, per molecule, one or more phosphorus atoms

 capable of complexing with Rh.
- The process according to claim 9 in which the triorganophosphorus compound is triphenylphosphine or 6,6'-[[3,3',5,5'-tetrakis (1,1-dimethylethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl-2,2'-diyl] bis (oxy)] bis-dibenzo [d,f] [1,3,2]-dioxaphosphepin.
- 25 11. The process according to any of the preceding claims in which the Rh concentration in the hydrofomylation reaction mixture is in the range of from 1 x 10⁻⁵ to 1 x 10⁻² moles/litre.
- The process according to any of the preceding claims in which the hydroformylation is carried out at a temperature in the range of from 40 to 200°C.

15

- 13. The process according to any of the preceding claims in which the hydroformylation is carried out at a pressure in the range of from 0.05 to 10 MPaa.
- 5 14. The process according to any of the preceding claims in which the carbon monoxide partial pressure in the reactor is not greater than 50% of the total pressure.
- The process according to any of the preceding claims wherein the propylene feed stream contains up to 5000 ppb by weight of dimethyl ether.
 - 16. The process according to any of the preceding claims wherein the molar ratio of (H₂+CO) to propylene is greater than 1.94.
 - 17. The process according to any of the preceding claims wherein the molar ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide is from 1.1:1 to 1.2:1.